

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/764,734	01/17/2001	Paula Ann Johnson	J3509(C)	6621
201	7590 10/19/2005		EXAMINER	
UNILEVER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP 700 SYLVAN AVENUE,			PRYOR, ALTON	NATHANIEL
BLDG C2 SOUTH ENGLEWOOD CLIFFS, NJ 07632-3100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/764,734	JOHNSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alton N. Pryor	1616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ju	ulv 2005					
· <u> </u>	action is non-final.					
·=		esecution as to the morits is				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under L	x parte Quayle, 1995 C.D. 11, 40					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-3-27 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1,3,4,7,8,10-15,18,21 and 23 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>5,6,9,16,17,19,20,22,24-27</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
	•					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				
т ары то(ауман Date 0) Ошен						

Application/Control Number: 09/764,734

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,3,4,7,8,10-15,18,21, and 23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,3,4,7,8,10-15,18,21, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Voss (US 3507796; 4/21/70) in view of Franks et al (US 4145532). Voss teaches an antimicrobial composition for applying to the outer surface of the human body comprising a carrier and a transition metal chelator (DTPA); wherein the transition metal comprises a transition chelator anion and an organic cation (quaternary ammonium compound). See column 1 line 52 – column 2 line 66, claim 1. Voss also suggests that the composition can comprise ethanol. See column 18 example IX. Applicant argues that Example IX is to a mouthwash rather than to an external application to the human body. Examiner argues that it is not required that a reference provide all possible scenarios for a composition. Therefore, the instant composition is made obvious by the references. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include ethanol in a composition for applying to the outer surface of the

human body to arrive at the instant composition since the prior art suggests the employment of ethanol as a solvent. Voss also teaches that amine oxides can be added to the composition. See column 7 lines 29-46, claims. Frank teaches that amine oxides can function as solvents. See abstract, column 2 lines 22-25, claim 1. In addition to the inclusion of ethanol, it would have been obvious for Voss to include amine oxide in the composition. Voss would have been motivated to do this since he makes the suggestion.

Claim Objection

Claims 5,6,9,16,17,19,20,22,24-27 are objected to for reason on record.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 571-272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached on 571-272-0887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/764,734

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 4

Álton Pryor

Primary Examiner

AU 1616